

EC Name			
Survey Date			
Group			
	GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	
Venue:		Date of meeting:	
Time started:		Time adjourned:	
Number of members present:		Number of memb	
Number of male members:		Number of female	e members:
Was a non-affiliated member presen	t? □YES / □NO		
Was a non-medical/non-scientific (la	y) member present?	□YES / □NO	
Was there an agenda? □YES / □NC	)		
Was the agenda approved? □YES /	□NO		
Was the agenda followed? □YES / [	□NO		
If <b>No</b> , explain:			
Were previous meeting minutes pres	sented and approved	? □YES / □NO	
	REVIEW OF INITIA	L SUBMISSIONS	
Number of new protocols reviewed:			
(Use another form if there are more		)	T
PROTOCOL Code	PROTOCOL 1:		PROTOCOL 2:
and Short Title			
Was there Conflict of Interest	□YES / □NO		□YES / □NO
among the EC members?	If <b>Yes</b> , how was it a	ddressed?	If <b>Yes</b> , how was it addressed?
3.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1			
Was quorum maintained during the	□YES / □NO		□YES / □NO
deliberations?	If <b>No</b> , why not?		If <b>No</b> , why not?
democrations.	ii No, wily not:		in ito, why not:
	DVES / DNO		
Were there primary reviewers?	□YES / □NO	nd the protocol?	
	If <b>No</b> , who presented the protocol?		in <b>No</b> , who presented the protocor:
Were the presentation and			
discussion of the protocol	□YES / □NO		□YES / □NO
appropriate and comprehensive?	If <b>No</b> , explain:		If <b>No</b> , explain:
Were the findings and	□YES / □NO		□YES / □NO
recommendations adequate?	If <b>No</b> , explain:		If <b>No</b> , explain:
Did the EC members raise relevant	□YES / □NO		□YES / □NO
issues?	,		
Describe how the members			
participated			



Was the discussion dominated by a few members?	□YES / □NO	□YES / □NO
Was the review systematic and organized?	□YES / □NO	□YES / □NO
Did the Chair present the summary of the discussion points before the decision/voting?	□YES / □NO	□YES / □NO
Did the EC comply with their SOPs on the review of initial submissions?	□YES / □NO	□YES / □NO
REVIEW ELEMENTS Were issues on the following items/e	elements raised and discussed adequat	ely? (Please comment)
Adequate Scientific Review	PROTOCOL 1	PROTOCOL 2
Rationale		
Objective		
Study Design		
Study Population		
Inclusion/Exclusion/ Withdrawal Criteria		
Sample Size		
Study Procedures and Tools		
Use of Control or Placebo		



Safety Monitoring		
Outcome Measurement		
Data Management and Analysis		
Risk/Benefit Assessment	PROTOCOL 1	PROTOCOL 2
Comprehensive Review of Risks		
Justification of Risks/Benefits		
Vulnerability Assessment	PROTOCOL 1	PROTOCOL 2
Recognize Vulnerability in Different Contexts		
Detect Inappropriate Use of Vulnerable Participants		
Recognize Lack of Measures to Protect Vulnerable Participants		
Quality of Informed Consent Review	PROTOCOL 1	PROTOCOL 2
Contents and Language of ICF		
Voluntary Participation		



Medical Care		
Costs and Compensation		
Confidentiality		
Consent/Assent Forms		
Procedures in Obtaining Informed Consent		
	REVIEW OF RESUBMITTED PROTOCOL	S
Number of resubmitted protocols rev	viewed:	
What issues were raised? Explain.		
Number of resubmitted protocols ap	proved:	
What issues do you (as FERCAP Surve	eyor/Surveyor Trainee) think were miss	sed in the deliberations?
Protocol Code/Number	Issues	Missed
	L REVIEW OF PROTOCOL AMENDMENTS	<u> </u>
Number of protocol amendments reviewed:		
What issues were raised? Explain.		
·		
Number of protocol amendments approved:		
What issues do you (as FERCAP Surveyor/Surveyor Trainee) think were missed in the deliberations?		
Protocol Code/Number	Issues	Missed



REVIEW	OF SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS (SAE) REPORTS	
Number of SAE reports reviewed:		
Onsite:	Offsite:	
What issues were raised? Explain.		
What were the EC actions /decisions	on the different CAEc2	
What were the EC actions/decisions of What issues do you (as EERCAP Surve	eyor/Surveyor Trainee) think were missed in the deliberations?	
Protocol Code/Number	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
DEVIE	   W OF PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS/VIOLATIONS	
Number of protocol deviations/violat		
What issues were raised? Explain.	ions reviewed.	
	on the different protocol deviations/violations?	
	eyor/Surveyor Trainee) think were missed in the deliberations?	
Protocol Code/Number	Issues Missed	
	REVIEW OF PROGRESS REPORTS	
Number of progress reports reviewed	:c	
What issues were raised? Explain.		
What were the EC actions/decisions of	on the different progress reports?	
	eyor/Surveyor Trainee) think were missed in the deliberations?	
Protocol Code/Number	Issues Missed	



REVIEW OF FINAL REPORTS		
Number of final reports reviewed:		
What issues were raised? Explain.		
What were the EC actions/decisions of	on the different final reports?	
What issues do you (as FERCAP Surve	eyor/Surveyor Trainee) think were missed in the deliberations?	
Protocol Code/Number	Issues Missed	
	OTHER COMMENTS AND SUMMARY	
OTHER COMMENTS		
GOOD PRACTICES		
RECOMMENDATIONS		