

This form is intended for use by an ethics committee (EC) associated with a SIDCER. This is part of the process of the SIDCER Recognition Program. An EC will complete this form as part of the initial steps for EC survey application.

The person completing this form should have extensive knowledge about the EC being surveyed (usually the Secretariat) and should be able to answer questions and provide documentation regarding the EC.

EC NAME:	
ADDRESS:	
CONTACT PERSON:	
BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF THE EC:	
Year established: Institutional affiliation:	
Common types of protocols reviewed:	



BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF EC MEMBERS AND STAFF

	EC Composition			
Name	Profession & Credentials	Field	Affiliation	Gender
		Med Non-Med	Yes No	M F



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
A	STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION (structure, composition and skills of the EC anature of research reviewed)				oropri	ate to the amount and
A1	MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS (at lescientific and affiliated members and terms a					
A 1.1	Does the EC have at least 5 members? (ICH 3.2.1)					
A1.2	Do the members contain a diversity of gender? (WHO 4)					
A1.3	Does EC have at least one non-affiliated member? (ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)					
A1.4	Does the EC membership contain non-scientific member or lay person? (ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)					
A1.5	Does EC membership consist of members with appropriate expertise for the research reviewed? (ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)					
A1.6	Does the EC describe the party responsible for appointing members? (WHO 4.1.1)					
A1.7	Do the EC members possess the required experience, knowledge, skill and relevant abilities to perform their duties? (WHO 4)					
A1.8	Does the EC policy and procedures describe the selection process of its members? (WHO 4.1.2, ICH 3.3.1)					
A1.9	Do the EC terms describe the duration of appointment for its members? (WHO 4.2.1)					
A1.10	Do the EC terms describe the policy for the renewal of appointment for its members? (WHO 4.2.2)					
A1.11	Do the EC terms describe the disqualification procedure of its members? (WHO 4.2.3)					
A1.12	Do the EC terms describe the resignation procedure for its members? (WHO 4.2.4)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
A1.13	Do the EC terms describe the replacement					
	procedures for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.5)					
A1.14	Does the EC maintain a list of all its					
	members with their current CV.?					
	(ICH 3.2.1)					
A1.15	Does EC member sign a confidentiality					
	agreement? (WHO 4.3.3)					
A1.16	Are EC members willing to publicize full					
	name, profession and affiliation?					
	(ICH 3.4. WHO 4.3.1)					
	ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.					
A2	(Adequate number of administrators to overs	see th	e EC	activ	ities,	have documentation of the
	functions and activities of staff and their terr	ns an	d con	ditio	ns of a	appointment)
A2.1	Does the EC have sufficient staff (full-time					
	or part-time) to meet its functions and					
	responsibilities? (WHO 4.4)					
A2.2	Does the EC have a description of					
	requirements for holding offices?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.3	Does the EC policy describe duration,					
	disqualification, resignation and	Ш	Ш	Ш		
	replacement procedures for its offices?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.4	Does the EC have documentation					
	explaining the duties, obligations and		Ш	Ш		
	responsibilities of its offices?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.5	Does the EC have an office space?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.6	Does the EC have the necessary		۱			
	equipments to run the office?	ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.7	Does the EC have available budget to meet					
	its functions and responsibilities?	\perp	\perp			
A2.8	Does EC document reimbursement for					
	work and expenses and is this made					
	available to the public upon request?					
	(WHO 4.3.2)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS		
	TRAINING OF EC MEMBERS							
A3	(EC needs to state and observe the provision	s ava	ilable	for i	ts me	mbers to receive		
	introductory and continuous education)							
A3.1	Does the members' condition of							
	appointment state the provisions for them							
	to receive introductory and ongoing							
	training? (WHO 4.7)							
A3.2	Did members of the EC receive an							
	introductory training? (WHO 4.7)							
A3.3	Are EC members continually being trained							
	to enhance their capacity for ethical							
	review? (WHO 4.7)							
A3.4	Does the EC review and document							
	trainings obtained by its members and							
	staff? (WHO 4.7)							
A4	MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICTS							
	(EC should have a policy to address conflicts	s of in	nteres	sts)		,		
A4.1	Does the EC have a process of managing,		_	_	_			
	minimizing or eliminating conflicts of				Ш			
	interest? (WHO 4.1.3)							
	ADHERENCE TO SPECIFIC POL	ICII	ES					
В	(EC to have appropriate management and op	eratio	onal p	roce	dures	for optimal and systematic		
	conduct of ethical review)		•			1		
D4	EC MANAGEMENT							
B 1	(EC to have terms of reference)							
B1.1	Does the EC have terms of reference which							
	includes its scope, objectives, activities,							
	organization and management?							
	(WHO 4)							
D2	AVAILABILITY OF SOP							
B2	(EC should have an SOP that covers its func	tion a	nd ac	ctiviti	es wh	nich they comply with)		
B2.1	Does the EC have written SOP?							
	(ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)							
B2 2	Does the SOP cover all functions and							

A: complete/adequate/always; B: partially complete/sometimes/not adequate; C: not complete/never; D: N/A

reviews undertaken by the EC? (ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)

Does the EC comply with the written SOP?

B2.3



SECTION	ITEM	A	B	\mathbf{C}	D	COMMENTS
	(ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.4	Is the SOP reviewed and revised as necessary?					
B2.5	Does EC make their SOP publicly available? (ICH 3.2.2.)					
B3	SUBMISSION GUIDELINES AND PROCEED (EC should have a submission guideline incl			equir	emen	ts and forms)
B3.1	Does the EC have any guidance on how to submit protocols? (WHO 5.1)					
B3.2	Does the EC have an application form? (WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.3	Does the EC indicate the format for submission? (WHO 5.2.3)					
B3.4	Does the EC indicate the number of copies of application to be submitted? (WHO 5.2.6)					
B3.5	Does the EC indicate the application procedures for protocol amendments and continuing review? (WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.6	Does the EC have an informed consent guidance/template which it made available to investigators to help with the preparation of the document?					
B3.7	Does the EC have a registration procedure (tracking system) for the applications made for review?					
B3.8	Does the EC specify the name and address of the EC secretariat to whom the application should be submitted? (WHO 5.2.1)					
B3.9	Does the EC have means of acknowledging applications made to them? (WHO 5.2.8)					
B3.10	Does the EC communicate the incompleteness of an application?					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
B3.11	Does the EC indicate fee structure, if any, for reviewing an application? (WHO 5.2.11)					
B3.12	Does the EC indicate that application forms should be signed and dated? (WHO 5.3.1)					
B3.13	Does the EC request that protocol be submitted together with supporting documents and annexes? (ICH 3.1.2, WHO 5.3.2)					
B3.14	Does EC request submission of the project summary and diagrammatic representative (flow chart) of the protocol? (WHO 5.3.3)					
B3.15	Does EC request submission of a description of the ethical considerations involved in the research? (WHO 5.3.4)					
B3.16	Does EC request submission of case report forms, diary cards and other questionnaires intended for research participants? (WHO 5.3.5)					
B3.17	When a research involves a study product does the EC request submission an adequate summary of the study product? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.36)					
B3.18	Does EC request submission of the investigators CV? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.7)					
B3.19	Does EC request submission of the materials to be used for the recruitment of potential research participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.8)					
B3.20	Does EC request submission of the informed consent form? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.10)					
B3.21	Does EC request submission of a statement describing any compensation for study participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.12)					
B3.22	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for indemnity if applicable?					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 5.3.13)					
B3.23	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for insurance coverage if applicable? (WHO 5.3.14)					
B3.24	Does EC request submission of a statement of agreement to comply with ethical principles set out in relevant guidelines? (WHO 5.3.15)					
B3.25	Does EC request submission of all significant previous decisions by the EC or regulatory authorities for the proposed study? (WHO 5.3.16)					
	MEETING REQUIREMENTS					
B4	(EC should have documented meeting require professional requirements)	remen	ıts wl	nich t	hey co	omply with, quorum and
B4.1	Does the EC meet regularly on scheduled date announced in advance? (ICH 3.2.2 WHO 6.1.1)					
B4.2	Does the EC form a quorum before holding its meeting? (WHO 4.5)					
B4.3	Does the EC require that at least one non affiliated member and a non scientist be part of a quorum for each of its meeting? (WHO 4.5.2)					
B4.4	Does the EC require that meetings should be minuted and there should be an approval procedure for the minutes? (WHO 4.5.2)					

C	COMPLETENESS OF ITS REVIEW PROCESS (EC review protocols and its supporting documents in a timely fashion according to an established procedure to protect the interest of research participants)
C1	REVIEW PROCESS (enough time for protocol review, EC to have documented and detailed review process which is complied with)



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	\mathbf{C}	D	COMMENTS
C1.1	Does the EC follow the operating					
	procedure for review?					
	(ICH 3.3, WHO 6)					
C1.2	Does the EC review protocols and all					
	relevant documents within a reasonable					
	time frame? (ICH 3.1.2, WHO 6.1.2)					
C1.3	Does the EC have an established procedure					
	for expedited review?		Ш	Ш		
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6)					
C1.4	Does the EC indicate the nature of the					
	application, amendments, continuing					
	review and other considerations that will					
	be eligible for expedited review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.1)					
C1.5	Does the EC have policies and procedures					
	that describe the process used to evaluate		Ш		Ш	
	whether research reviewed by the					
	expedited procedures meets the criteria for					
	review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.3)					
C1.6	Does the EC have an established procedure	П	П	ΙП		
	for full board review? (WHO 6.2)					
C1.7	Does the EC have an established process	_		l —		
	for obtaining additional expertise when		Ш	Ш	Ш	
	reviewing specific protocols?					
G1 0	(ICH 3.3.6, WHO 4.6)					
C1.8	Does the EC have terms of reference for					
C1 0	independent consultants? (WHO 4.6)					
C1.9	Does the EC have an established process		lm			
	for inviting applicants/investigators to		ш	Ш		
	elaborate on specific issues when					
	applicable?					
	(ICH 3.2.5) ELEMENTS OF REVIEW					
C2	(EC to have a policy and procedure for review	w 61/	aman	ta ros	iowo	d should include the
CZ	scientific design and conduct and ethics)	w, cr		is iev	1CWEC	a should include the
C2.1	Does the EC have a policy and procedure					
C2.1	for reviewing protocols?					
	(WHO 6.2)					
1	(m1100.2)	1	1	1	1	



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.2	Does the EC review the scientific design					
	and conduct of the study?				Ш	
	(WHO 6.2.1)					
C2.3	Does the EC review the justification for the					
	use of control arms?		Ш		Ш	
	(WHO 6.2.1.3)					
C2.4	Does the EC review the criteria for					
	prematurely withdrawing research				Ш	
	participants?					
G2 5	(WHO 6.2.1.4)					
C2.5	Does the EC review the criteria for					
	suspending or terminating the research?				Ш	
C2 ((WHO 6.2.1.5)					
C2.6	Does the EC have justification of					
	predictable risks and inconveniences					
	weighed against the anticipated benefits for				Ш	
	the research participants and concerned communities? (WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.7	Does the EC review the adequacy of					
C2.1	provisions made for monitoring and					
	auditing the conduct of the research,					
	including the constitution of a data safety		Ш		Ш	
	and monitoring board (DSMB)?					
	(WHO 6.2.1.6)					
C2.8	Does the EC review the manner in which					
	the results of the research will be reported					
	and published?				Ш	
	(WHO 6.2.1.8)					
C2.9	Does the EC review whether the risk posed					
	to research subjects is reasonable in					
	relation to its anticipated benefits?				ш	
	(WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.10	Does the EC follow the established					
	procedure for determining if potential risks					
	posed to the vulnerable population are					
	acceptable? (ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.11	Does the EC review the description of the					
	informed consent process and the					
	identification of those responsible for					
	obtaining it? (WHO 6.2.5.1)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.12	Does the EC review the informed consent focusing on measures to improve participant understanding and voluntary decision making? (WHO 6.2.5.2)					
C2.13	Does the EC review justification to include research individual that cannot consent and account of the arrangements for obtaining consent? (ICH 3.1.6, WHO 6.2.5.3)					
C2.14	Does the EC have and follow the established procedure to determine if the vulnerable subjects are protected in the consent process? (ICH 3.1.5)					
C2.15	Does the EC have and follow the established procedure in reviewing the consent process in emergency situation in research protocol? (ICH 3.1.2)					
C2.16	Does the EC review the information assuring research participants that they will receive available information during the course of the research relevant to their participation? (WHO 6.2.5.4)					
C2.17	Does the EC review the provisions made by researchers for receiving and responding to queries and complaints from participants or representatives during the course of the research? (WHO 6.2.5.5)					
C2.18	Does the EC review the suitability of the investigators qualifications and experience for the proposed study? (ICH 3.1.3, WHO 6.2.3.1)					
C2.19	Does the EC review any plans to withdraw or withhold standard therapies for the purpose of the research and the justification for such action? (WHO 6.2.3.2)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.20	Does the EC review the steps to be taken if research participants voluntarily withdraw during the course of the research? (WHO 6.2.3.5)					
C2.21	Does EC have and follow an established procedure in evaluating the protection of privacy and confidentiality of the research participants during and after the completion of the research? (WHO 6.4)					
C2.22	Does the EC have and follow established procedure to determine if the vulnerable subjects are properly protected? (ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.23	Does EC have and follow procedures of determining whether the method used to recruit the research subjects is acceptable or not? (WHO 6.2.2)					
C2.24	Does the EC review the description of the plan to make the study product available to research participants following the research if applicable? (WHO 6.2.3.8)					
C2.25	Does the EC have and follow established procedure for evaluating the inclusion and exclusion criteria? (WHO 6.2.2.4, 6.2.2.5)					
C2.26	Does the EC have and follow established procedure for evaluating the characteristics of the population from which participants are drawn? (WHO 6.2.2.1)					
C2.27	Does EC have methods of ensuring that additional safe guards are included to protect the rights and welfare in research involving vulnerable populations? (ICH 3.1.6, 3.1.7)					
C2.28	Does the EC review payment for research participants to determine if it will unduly influence them to participate in research? (ICH 3.1.8, WHO 6.3.2.10)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	\mathbf{C}	D	COMMENTS
C2.29	Does the EC review compensation for					
	research participants to determine if it they		$ \Box$			
	adequately compensated for injury?					
	(ICH 3.1.9, WHO 6.3.2.11)					
C2.30	Does EC review the standard of care and					
	other post trial benefits offered to					
	participants? (WHO 6.3.2.3)					
C2.31	Does the EC review the impact and					
	relevance of research on the local					
	community from which the research					
	participants are drawn? (WHO 6.3.6.1)					
C2.32	Does the EC review the steps taken to					
	consult with the concerned communities					
	during the course of the designing of the					
G2 22	research? (WHO 6.3.6.2)					
C2.33	Does the EC review the influence of the		_		l	
	community on the consent of individuals?		Ш	Ш	Ш	
C2 24	(WHO 6.3.6.3)					
C2.34	Does the EC review proposed community					
	consultation during the course of the		Ш	Ш	Ш	
C2 25	research? (WHO 6.3.6.4)					
C2.35	Does the EC review the extent to which		$ \Box$			
	research contributes to capacity building	Ш	ш	Ш		
C2.36	within the community? (WHO 6.3.6.5) Does the EC review a description of the					
C2.30	availability and affordability of any					
	successful study product to the concerned		П			
	communities following the research?					
	(WHO 6.3.6.6)					
C2.37	Does the EC review the rights to give					
02.07	subjects additional information when the					
	additional information would add					
	meaningfully to the protection of the	Ш	Ш			
	rights, safety and/or well-being of the					
	subjects? (WHO 6.2.5.4)					
	AFTER PROTOCOL APPROVAL					
C3	(EC to document and follow procedures of re	eview	s of a	amen	dmen	ts, continuing, SAE
	reports)					
C3.1	Does the EC have continuing review?				$ \Box$	
	(ICH 3.1.4, 3.3.3, WHO 9)			╽╙	╽╙	



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C3.2	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure for determining the frequency of continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.2)					
C3.3	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure for handling modification (amendments) of research protocol? (ICH 3.2.7, WHO 9.3)					
C3.4	Does the EC have documents required for continuous review and is this list made available to investigators?					
C3.5	Does the EC consider the submitted relevant information and documents in its continuing review? (WHO 9.3)					
C3.6	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure to notify investigators when it will conduct a continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.4)					
C3.7	Does ERC have and follows policies and procedures for suspending or terminating previously approved research if need be based on findings in monitoring or continuing review? (WHO 9.4)					
C3.8	Does the EC require the investigator to notify the EC in writing of the reasons and a summary of the research results when applicant prematurely suspend or terminate the study? (WHO 9.5)					
C3.9	Does EC do a follow up review when serious and unexpected adverse events occur as a result of the conduct of the study or study (test) product and necessary steps need to be instituted to protect participants? (WHO 9.3b)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C3.10	Does the EC specify that no deviations from, or changes of, the protocol should be initiated without prior written IRB/IEC approval/favorable opinion of an appropriate amendment? (ICH 3.3.7)					
C3.11	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any deviations from, or changes of, the protocol to eliminate immediate hazards to the trial subjects? (ICH 3.3.8, WHO 9.3c)					
C3.12	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC changes increasing the risk to subjects and/or affecting significantly the conduct of the trial? (ICH 3.3.8, WHO 9.3c)					
C3.13	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC all adverse drug reactions (ADRs) that are both serious and unexpected? (ICH 3.3.8)					
C3.14	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any new information that may affect adversely the safety of the subjects or the conduct of the trial? (ICH 3.3.8)					
C3.15	Does the EC require the applicant to notify the EC the time of completion of a study? (WHO 9.6)					
C3.16	Does the EC require the applicant to submit in writing at the completion of the study a final report describing how the study was conducted and a summary of the study results? (WHO 9.7)					
C4	COMPLETENESS OF IEC/IRB MEETING (minutes should be a complete record) and re	. –			cen di	uring the meeting)
C4.1	Does the EC record and keep minutes of its meeting? (ICH 3.2.2, WHO 6.1.3)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C4.2	Does the EC record in its minute members present for each meeting, members voted and all the actions that took place during the meeting? (ICH 3.1.2)					
C4.3	Does the minutes record protocols and documents reviewed, the dates of approval, modifications required prior to its approval or disapproval and termination/suspension of any prior approval? (ICH 3.1.2)					
C4.4	Does the EC have an approval procedure for its minutes? (WHO 6.1.3)					
C5	DECISION MAKING PROCESS (EC should have a procedure for decision maprocess)	aking	and 1	nemb	ers sl	hould participate in the
C5.1	Are decisions only made in meetings where a quorum is present? (ICH 3.2.3, WHO 7.3)					
C5.2	Does EC ensure that only members who participate in the review should participate in the decision? (ICH 3.2.4, WHO 7.5)					
C5.3	Are all relevant documents required for full review available and considered before a decision is made? (WHO 7.4)					
C5.4	Does the EC have a predefined method of arriving at a decision e.g. by consensus or vote? (WHO 7.6)					
C5.5	Does the EC ensure that members with conflicts of interest are not part of the decision? (WHO 7.1)					
C5.6	Do the EC members have sufficient time to review and discuss before a decision is made? (WHO 7.2)					
C5.7	When a decision is made to re-review a protocol, does the EC clearly document the areas needed to be revised? (WHO 7.8)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	\mathbf{C}	D	COMMENTS			
C5.8	Are negative decisions supported with								
	clearly stated reasons?								
	(WHO 7.9)								
D	AFTER REVIEW PROCESS								
D	(EC should adequately and effectively communicates its decision to investigators)								
D1	COMMUNICATING A DECISION (EC l								
D1	communicating a decision with clearly stated								
D1.1	Are the conclusions of a decision								
	communicated in writing to the applicant								
	within 14 days?								
	(WHO 8)								
D1.2	Does the EC clearly specify areas that need								
	to be revised when communicating a								
	provisional approval decision to								
	investigators?								
	(ICH 3.3.9, WHO 7.4)								
D1.3	Does the decision letter include the exact								
	title of the protocol reviewed?		Ш	Ш	Ш				
7.1	(WHO 8.1)								
D1.4	Does the decision letter include the	l —							
	specific identification number of the	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш				
	documents reviewed including the informed consent form?								
	(WHO 8.2)								
D1.5	Does the decision letter include the name								
D1.5	and title of the applicant(s)?		$ \Box$						
	(WHO 8.4)								
D1.6	Does the decision letter include the date								
21.0	and place of the decision?	П			П				
	(WHO 8.6)								
D1.7	Does the decision letter include the name								
	of the EC taking the decision?								
	(WHO 8.7)								
D1.8	Does the decision letter include a statement								
	of the responsibilities of the applicant?								
	(ICH 3.3.6, 3.3.7, WHO 8.11)								
D1.9	Does the decision letter include the								
	signature of the chairperson (or other								
1	authorized person) and date?								



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 8.14)					
D1.10	Does the EC inform investigators of its rereview procedure, schedule/plan of ongoing review? (WHO 8.12)					
D1.11	Does the EC issue suspension or termination letters with reasons for suspension or termination (or the conditions of lifting suspension or termination) clearly stated? (ICH 3.3.9, WHO 9.5)					
D1.12	Does the decision documentation clearly explain how the applicant can communicate with the EC? (WHO 8.11)					
	DOCUMENTATION AND ARCHIVING	•	•	•	•	
E	(EC systematically document and archive its		ities	for a	good	time period)
E1.1	Does the EC have and follow operating procedures for record keeping and archiving of all records and communication documents? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.2	Does EC have and follow operation procedure for the access or retrieve of various documents, files or archives? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.3	Does the filing, archiving, accessing and retrieving of the documents meet the established procedures? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.4	Does the EC maintain a complete file or database of all the relevant materials in each research protocol? (WHO 10.7)					
E1.5	Does the EC follow the requirement to retain all the records for at least 3 years after the completion of investigation? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					



SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
E1.6	Could all the relevant records be inspected by the appropriate authority? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.7	Does the EC document its SOPs and terms of reference? (WHO 10.1)					
E1.8	Does the EC document the CV of all its members? (WHO 10.2)					
E1.9	Does the EC document its published guideline for submission of protocols? (WHO 10.4)					
E1.10	Does the EC document the agenda and minutes of its meetings? (WHO 10.5, 10.6)					
E1.11	Does the EC document copies of its decision and any advice or requirements sent to the applicants? (WHO 10.9)					
E1.12	Does the EC document all the written documentations received during the follow-up? (WHO 10.10)					
E1.13	Does the EC document the notification of completion, premature suspension or termination of study? (WHO 10.11)					
E1.14	Does the EC document the final report of the study? (WHO 10.12)					